

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION)	DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion)	11-0357
-vs-)	
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)	
Reconciliation of revenues)	
collected under power procurement)	
riders with actual costs)	
associated with power procurement)	
expenditures.)	

Springfield, Illinois
Thursday, June 7, 2011

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. JOHN P. RATNASWAMY
ROONEY RIPPKE & RATNASWAMY LLP
350 West Hubbard Street, Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Ph. (312) 447-2850

(Appearing via teleconference on
behalf of Commonwealth Edison
Company)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
CSR #084-002710

1		<u>I N D E X</u>			
2					
3	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
4	(None)				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14					
15				<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
16	(None)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE JONES: Good morning. I call for hearing
Docket Number 11-0357. This is titled in part
Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion versus
Commonwealth Edison Company. At this time we will
take the appearances orally for the record. You need
not restate your business address or phone number or
respell your name unless any of those things have
changed since you last appeared. That may be the
case, I suppose, with counsel's business address but
we will find out.

May we have the appearances orally for
the record at this time?

MR. RATNASWAMY: John Ratnaswamy on behalf of
Commonwealth Edison Company, and it is true my
business address has slightly changed. The full
business address is Rooney, Rippie and Ratnaswamy,
L.L.P., 350 West Hubbard Street. It is now Suite
600, formerly 430, and remains Chicago, Illinois
60654.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you. And is the phone
number still the same?

1 MR. RATNASWAMY: Yes, the phone and e-mail has
2 not changed.

3 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.

4 MR. BERNSTEIN: And also, Your Honor, for
5 Commonwealth Edison Company Eugene Bernstein of
6 Exelon Business Services. My information has not
7 changed.

8 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.
9 Commission Staff?

10 MR. OLIVERO: Thank you, Your Honor. Appearing
11 on behalf of the Staff witnesses of the Illinois
12 Commerce Commission, Jim Olivero, and my address and
13 phone number are still the same.

14 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
15 appearances?

16 (No response.)

17 Let record show there are not, at
18 least at this time.

19 Since we last met, there have been
20 some developments in terms of scheduling. I believe
21 the parties indicated by e-mail that they wished to
22 keep the status hearing that was set for today's date

1 even though some of the other dates had changed in
2 the meantime. Do the parties have some further
3 scheduling to propose at this time?

4 MR. OLIVERO: Yes, we do, Your Honor. And
5 John, if I misspeak, please correct me.

6 Your Honor, we had set for June 27
7 Staff direct testimony and then I believe in that
8 e-mail we had asked for a hearing the week of July 9
9 for either a status and/or an evidentiary hearing if
10 we get all issues resolved. However, since then we
11 have discussed the matter and we were wondering if we
12 could have a hearing set for either July 18 or 19 in
13 order to either conduct a status hearing or, again,
14 if we get all issues resolved have an evidentiary
15 hearing.

16 MR. RATNASWAMY: This is John Ratnaswamy. I
17 don't disagree with that. I guess I would add I
18 imagine that sometime after June 27 we would promptly
19 report to Your Honor whether the hearing would just
20 be another status or in fact would be -- there
21 weren't any contested issues and just was for the
22 purpose of entering material into evidence.

1 MR. OLIVERO: And that would be correct, Your
2 Honor.

3 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. If it does turn out
4 that there would be an additional testimony filing by
5 ComEd, would the date for such a filing be determined
6 on July 18 or July 19 if not determined ahead of
7 that?

8 MR. RATNASWAMY: We haven't discussed that, but
9 it seems to me it would be, as part of our report if
10 there were contested issues, then we would suggest a
11 filing date in our report to you before the hearing.

12 MR. OLIVERO: Yeah, that would be agreeable
13 with Staff, I think, for further scheduling.

14 JUDGE JONES: Anyway, the intent for the
15 setting of the July 18 or July 19 would be to use it
16 as a status unless all issues have been resolved at
17 that point, in which case it would be converted into
18 an evidentiary hearing, is that the plan?

19 MR. OLIVERO: That's Staff's understanding.

20 MR. RATNASWAMY: Yes, Your Honor.

21 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you. Did you
22 have a preference with respect to the 18th versus the

1 19th?

2 MR. OLIVERO: Staff didn't. It didn't really
3 matter on either day.

4 JUDGE JONES: How about July 19 at 10:00 a.m.?
5 Is that any good?

6 MR. OLIVERO: That's fine.

7 MR. RATNASWAMY: Yes, sir.

8 MR. OLIVERO: That's fine with Staff as well.

9 JUDGE JONES: All right. It will be set for
10 July 19 at 10:00 a.m.

11 Given the purpose or scope of that
12 hearing, participation by telephone will be
13 permitted.

14 Do the parties have have anything else
15 to take up today before we put this matter over to
16 July 19?

17 MR. OLIVERO: Nothing on behalf of Staff.

18 MR. RATNASWAMY: Nothing on behalf of
19 Commonwealth Edison Company.

20 JUDGE JONES: Thank you, all. At this time let
21 the record show today's status hearing is over. In
22 accordance with the above discussion, this matter is

1 continued to a hearing date of July 19, 2012, at the
2 hour of 10:00 a.m.

3 (Whereupon the hearing in this
4 matter was continued until July
5 19, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. in
6 Springfield, Illinois.)

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22